

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/662,550	09/15/2003	Eric Cosatto	2000-0042Con	2283	
83224 7550 01/12/2010 AT & T LEGAL DEPARTMENT - NDQ ATIN: PATENT DOCKETING			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			HAJNIK, DANIEL F		
ONE AT & T WAY, ROOM 2A-207 BEDMINSTER, NJ 07921			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2628		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/12/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/662,550	COSATTO ET AL.			
Examiner-initiated interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	DANIEL F. HAJNIK	2628			
All Participants: Status of Application: Non-final					
(1) <u>DANIEL F. HAJNIK</u> .	(3)				
(2) Thomas Isaacson.	(4)				
Date of Interview: 7 January 2010	Time: <u>2:30</u>				
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)				
Part I.					
Rejection(s) discussed: Double Patenting					
Claims discussed: Claims 22 and 23					
Prior art documents discussed:					
Part II.					
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:			
Part III.					
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate n directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.     It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate n did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summan	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview			
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)			

Application No. 10/662,550

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner called applicant to propose using a terminal disclaimer to overcome a double patenting rejection and perform any needed changes to the claims through examiner's amendment in order to put the case in condition for allowance. Applicant requested that a written office action be sent out showing the rejection in writing.